

**EXHIBIT**

# MAHONEY, HAWKES & GOLDINGS, LLP

## ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELLORS AT LAW

The Heritage on the Garden • 75 Park Plaza • Boston, Massachusetts 02116  
 Telephone: 617.457.3100 • Facsimile: 617.457.3125

### FACSIMILE TRANSMITTAL

TO:	Jay Fleisher, Esq.	DATE:	September 21, 2000
FIRM:	Shriners Hospitals for Children	CLIENT NO:	3729-1
FAX NO:	813-281-0943	TELE. NO:	813-281-0300
FROM	Brian W. LeClair, Esq.	SENDER DIRECT DIAL:	617-210-1495
RE:		PAGES SENT:	6
		(INCLUDING COVER)	

☐ URGENT    ☐ REPLY ASAP    ☐ PLEASE COMMENT    ☐ FOR YOUR REVIEW

#### MESSAGE:

*MORRIS GOLDINGS - OUT A MTL  
 (ANYTHING OTHER THAN A YES  
 WILL BE INTERPRETED AS A NO).*

SHC 00930

☐ ORIGINAL WILL FOLLOW VIA:    ☐ ORIGINAL WILL NOT FOLLOW  
☐ REGULAR MAIL    ☐ OVERNIGHT DELIVERY    ☐ HAND DELIVERY    ☐ OTHER  
 TIME SENT: \_\_\_\_\_ ☐ AM    ☐ PM    \_\_\_\_\_ FAX OPERATOR

CALL 617.210-1527 WITH ANY PROBLEMS.

**CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE:** THIS FACSIMILE CONTAINS PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION INTENDED ONLY FOR THE USE OF THE INDIVIDUAL OR ENTITY NAMED ABOVE. IF THE READER OF THIS FACSIMILE IS NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT OR THE EMPLOYEE OR AGENT RESPONSIBLE FOR DELIVERING IT TO THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT ANY DISSEMINATION OR COPYING OF THIS FACSIMILE IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS FACSIMILE IN ERROR, PLEASE NOTIFY US IMMEDIATELY BY TELEPHONE (COLLECT) AND RETURN THE ORIGINAL FACSIMILE TO US AT THE ABOVE ADDRESS VIA U.S. POSTAL SERVICE. WE WILL REIMBURSE YOU FOR POSTAGE. THANK YOU.

**MAHONEY, HAWKES & GOLDINGS, LLP**  
ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELLORS AT LAW

September 21, 2000

**BY FACSIMILE TRANSFER**

Jay Fleisher, Esquire  
Shriners Hospitals for Children  
P.O. Box 31356  
Tampa, Florida 33631-3356

Re: Vantage Group

Dear Mr. Fleisher:

We represent The Vantage Group in connection with an action entitled U.S. ex rel Saklad v. Vantage Travel Services Inc., No. 97-10052 on the docket of the United States District Court for Massachusetts.

In 1998, the Postal Service sent letters seeking information and documents from Vantage's customers concerning historical communications and transactions with Vantage. Vantage moved for an order restraining the Postal Service from contacting the customers and related relief. There follow excerpts of a July 23, 1998 hearing transcript on Vantage's motion. If you examine pages 26-7, you will see that Judge Lindsay, the sitting emergency judge, ordered that the Postal Service not send any more letters to charities without first obtaining leave from Judge Wolfe, to whom the case had been assigned. At no time subsequent to that hearing has the Postal Service sought, or the court granted leave for the Postal Service to contact Vantage's customers or vacated Judge Lindsay's July 23, 1998 Order.

We understand that your client, the Shriners Hospitals for Children, may have recently received a letter from the Postal Service requesting historical information concerning communications or transactions with Vantage. We believe any such letter would have violated Judge Lindsay's order. In recent communications with the United States Attorney's office in Boston, we have advised them that we believe any letters sent to Vantage's customers violate Judge Lindsay's order and that we are considering seeking sanctions.

Should you have any questions, please do not hesitate to call.

Sincerely,

  
Brian W. LeClair

Enclosure/63497

Morris M. Goldings  
Loyd M. Starrett  
William S. Hawkes  
Mark Peters  
Frances Allou Gershwin  
Bruce Winthrop Edmonds  
James B. Cox  
Laurence M. Johnson  
Daniel J. Goldberg  
Douglas L. Jones  
Brien W. LeClair  
Richard S. Jacobs  
Matthew P. Zayotti  
Brenda A. Buan  
Alison J. Little  
Angela M. Cox  
Diane Rubin  
Of Counsel

Direct Dial  
(617) 210-

SHC 00931

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR  
DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

Civil Action No. 97-10052

\*\*\*\*\*

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Vs.

VANTAGE TRAVEL SERVICE and  
HENRY R. LEWIS

\*\*\*\*\*

TRO MOTION HEARING  
BEFORE THE HONORABLE REGINALD C. LINDSAY  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Courtroom No. 12, 3rd Floor  
Post Office & Courthouse Building  
Boston, Massachusetts 02109  
July 23, 1998

APPEARANCES:

John Capin, AUSA, on behalf of the government.

Morris M. Goldings, Esq., on behalf of the defendant.

Teri Celeste Gibson, Official Court Reporter  
605 U.S.P.O. & Courthouse Building  
Boston, Massachusetts 02109  
(617) 423-6614

Mechanical stenography; computer aided transcription.

1 letters out before the case started.

2 MR. GOLDINGS: No, he did not say that.

3 THE COURT: He said that in his papers. Didn't you  
4 tell me in your papers that before you -- didn't you tell me  
5 in your papers before you that these things happened before  
6 the complaint was filed or before the government had  
7 intervened?

8 MR. CAPIN: No. These letters went out after the  
9 case developed. These letters could not go out until the  
10 case was unsealed which happened when we intervened.

11 THE COURT: So the litigation had been done?

12 MR. CAPIN: That's correct, Your Honor. I feel  
13 obligated to correct something on the record. I think I said  
14 in telling the court how many nonprofit organizations we have  
15 told Vantage or implicated in this civil action, that there  
16 were 130, give or take a dozen. I didn't mean to suggest  
17 that we sent a dozen letters.

18 THE COURT: No, I understand. Okay. So you sent  
19 this letter out to these charities in connection with this  
20 litigation.

21 MR. CAPIN: That's correct.

22 THE COURT: So that you -- if I hear what you are  
23 saying, you took advantage -- the government took advantage  
24 of powers that it would have had in the absence of litigation  
25 to use this in the course of litigation to get this

1 information, which means that I take it your position would  
2 be that as litigation proceeds, you can continue to do this  
3 because you have the right under these regulations to do  
4 this; is that going -- would that be your position?

5 What difference does it make that you have a right  
6 to give a subpoena?

7 Couldn't you just send these letters out anytime  
8 you wanted to?

9 MR. CAPIN: I think this particular letter could go  
10 out at any time. I think any time during the course of civil  
11 litigation, any litigant has the right to send a witness or  
12 potential witness a letter asking for information.

13 THE COURT: No, but --

14 MR. CAPIN: If that litigant then responds to the  
15 Postal Service and says, "What right have you to gather this  
16 information?" If I were to read to them the applicable  
17 regulations, I don't think I would be doing anything  
18 different from what I am doing now, and I don't think I would  
19 be doing anything that is outside of the rules.

20 Mr. Goldings is suggesting, somehow, that his  
21 client has a proprietary right to know who we are contacting,  
22 to have -- to be CC'd, copied on letters to nonparty  
23 witnesses. There is simply no support in the rules, and the  
24 bankruptcy analogy just simply doesn't hold.

25 THE COURT: Here is what I'm going to do. I am

1 going to -- I am going to order that you not send anymore  
2 letters like this out to any of these charities, pending a  
3 scheduling conference by Judge Wolf at which time I will have  
4 Judge Wolf make the decision.

5 I will ask -- you can put the question to Judge  
6 Wolf about how -- what happens with respect to the letters  
7 that have already gone out, but at the scheduling conference,  
8 Judge Wolf with presumably set a schedule and determine what  
9 method of discovery there will be, and it seems to me one of  
10 the things you want to discuss with him is whether you can  
11 send letters like this out to charities or contact charities,  
12 independently.

13 I think you can do that, frankly, but I don't think  
14 you should send any more letters until Judge Wolf has had an  
15 opportunity to review this in the context of an overall  
16 schedule of discovery in this case, and then the question  
17 becomes what happens with the people you already notified.

18 MR. GOLDINGS: That's why I rose. At the very  
19 least we would like to know who he notified. I would really  
20 like an order that he be required to say something to them.

21 THE COURT: What about that? You say that is your  
22 work product. It seems to me -- I am not sure why that is  
23 work product. Tell me why that is work product, what  
24 persons you talked to?

25 MR. CAPIN: Your Honor, if during the course of